

Please check the examination details below before entering your candidate information

Candidate surname

Other names

Pearson Edexcel
International
Advanced Level

Centre Number

--	--	--	--	--

Candidate Number

--	--	--	--	--

Thursday 14 May 2020

Afternoon (Time: 2 hours)

Paper Reference **WPS02/01**

Psychology

International Advanced Subsidiary

Paper 2: Biological Psychology, Learning Theories and Development

You do not need any other materials.

Total Marks

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **all** questions.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided
– *there may be more space than you need.*

Information

- The total mark for this paper is 96.
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets
– *use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.*
- The list of formulae and statistical tables are printed at the start of this paper.
- Candidates may use a calculator.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

Turn over ►

P64522A

©2020 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1/1



Pearson

FORMULAE AND STATISTICAL TABLES

Standard deviation (sample estimate)

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}\right)}$$

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient

$$1 - \frac{6 \sum d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}$$

Critical values for Spearman's rank

N	Level of significance for a one-tailed test				
	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.0025
N	Level of significance for a two-tailed test				
	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005
5	0.900	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
6	0.829	0.886	0.943	1.000	1.000
7	0.714	0.786	0.893	0.929	0.964
8	0.643	0.738	0.833	0.881	0.905
9	0.600	0.700	0.783	0.833	0.867
10	0.564	0.648	0.745	0.794	0.830
11	0.536	0.618	0.709	0.755	0.800
12	0.503	0.587	0.678	0.727	0.769
13	0.484	0.560	0.648	0.703	0.747
14	0.464	0.538	0.626	0.679	0.723
15	0.446	0.521	0.604	0.654	0.700
16	0.429	0.503	0.582	0.635	0.679
17	0.414	0.485	0.566	0.615	0.662
18	0.401	0.472	0.550	0.600	0.643
19	0.391	0.460	0.535	0.584	0.628
20	0.380	0.447	0.520	0.570	0.612
21	0.370	0.435	0.508	0.556	0.599
22	0.361	0.425	0.496	0.544	0.586
23	0.353	0.415	0.486	0.532	0.573
24	0.344	0.406	0.476	0.521	0.562
25	0.337	0.398	0.466	0.511	0.551
26	0.331	0.390	0.457	0.501	0.541
27	0.324	0.382	0.448	0.491	0.531
28	0.317	0.375	0.440	0.483	0.522
29	0.312	0.368	0.433	0.475	0.513
30	0.306	0.362	0.425	0.467	0.504

The calculated value must be equal to or exceed the critical value in this table for significance to be shown.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Chi-squared distribution formula

$$X^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

$$df = (r - 1)(c - 1)$$

Critical values for chi-squared distribution

df	Level of significance for a one-tailed test					
	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.0005
df	Level of significance for a two-tailed test					
	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.001
1	1.64	2.71	3.84	5.02	6.64	10.83
2	3.22	4.61	5.99	7.38	9.21	13.82
3	4.64	6.25	7.82	9.35	11.35	16.27
4	5.99	7.78	9.49	11.14	13.28	18.47
5	7.29	9.24	11.07	12.83	15.09	20.52
6	8.56	10.65	12.59	14.45	16.81	22.46
7	9.80	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48	24.32
8	11.03	13.36	15.51	17.54	20.09	26.12
9	12.24	14.68	16.92	19.02	21.67	27.88
10	13.44	15.99	18.31	20.48	23.21	29.59
11	14.63	17.28	19.68	21.92	24.73	31.26
12	15.81	18.55	21.03	23.34	26.22	32.91
13	16.99	19.81	22.36	24.74	27.69	34.53
14	18.15	21.06	23.69	26.12	29.14	36.12
15	19.31	22.31	25.00	27.49	30.58	37.70
16	20.47	23.54	26.30	28.85	32.00	39.25
17	21.62	24.77	27.59	30.19	33.41	40.79
18	22.76	25.99	28.87	31.53	34.81	42.31
19	23.90	27.20	30.14	32.85	36.19	43.82
20	25.04	28.41	31.41	34.17	37.57	45.32
21	26.17	29.62	32.67	35.48	38.93	46.80
22	27.30	30.81	33.92	36.78	40.29	48.27
23	28.43	32.01	35.17	38.08	41.64	49.73
24	29.55	33.20	36.42	39.36	42.98	51.18
25	30.68	34.38	37.65	40.65	44.31	52.62
26	31.80	35.56	38.89	41.92	45.64	54.05
27	32.91	36.74	40.11	43.20	46.96	55.48
28	34.03	37.92	41.34	44.46	48.28	56.89
29	35.14	39.09	42.56	45.72	49.59	58.30
30	36.25	40.26	43.77	46.98	50.89	59.70
40	47.27	51.81	55.76	59.34	63.69	73.40
50	58.16	63.17	67.51	71.42	76.15	86.66
60	68.97	74.40	79.08	83.30	88.38	99.61
70	79.72	85.53	90.53	95.02	100.43	112.32

The calculated value must be equal to or exceed the critical value in this table for significance to be shown.



Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test process

- Calculate the difference between two scores by taking one from the other
- Rank the differences giving the smallest difference Rank 1

Note: do not rank any differences of 0 and when adding the number of scores, do not count those with a difference of 0, and ignore the signs when calculating the difference

- Add up the ranks for positive differences
- Add up the ranks for negative differences
- T is the figure that is the smallest when the ranks are totalled (may be positive or negative)
- N is the number of scores left, ignore those with 0 difference

Critical values for the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test

<i>n</i>	Level of significance for a one-tailed test		
	0.05	0.025	0.01
	Level of significance for a two-tailed test		
	0.1	0.05	0.02
N=5	0	-	-
6	2	0	-
7	3	2	0
8	5	3	1
9	8	5	3
10	11	8	5
11	13	10	7
12	17	13	9

The calculated value must be equal to or less than the critical value in this table for significance to be shown.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

SECTION A

BIOLOGICAL PSYCHOLOGY

Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

1 (a) Name the area of the brain indicated in **Figure 1**.

(1)




Figure 1

(b) Explain **one** weakness of the structure of the brain as an explanation of human aggression.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for Question 1 = 3 marks)



2 Kazuhito investigated the effects of caffeine on participants' brain activity. He used an fMRI scan to measure the same participants' brain activity on two different days.

On day one participants did not drink any caffeinated coffee before their fMRI scan. On day two the participants drank three cups of caffeinated coffee before their fMRI scan.

(a) State a fully operationalised non-directional (two-tailed) experimental hypothesis for the investigation carried out by Kazuhito.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



(b) Explain **one** strength and **one** weakness of Kazuhito using an fMRI scan in his investigation.

(4)

Strength

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Weakness

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA



Kazuhito also asked the participants to rate how alert they felt each day on a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being a score of not alert and 7 being a score of highly alert. He carried out a Wilcoxon signed ranks test on his data where $N=11$ and found a calculated value of 12.

- (c) Justify, using a two-tailed test at $p \leq 0.05$, whether Kazuhito found a significant difference in his investigation.

The formulae and statistical tables can be found at the front of the paper.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for Question 2 = 8 marks)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

3 In biological psychology you will have learned about one of the following contemporary studies in detail.

- McDermott (2008).
- Hoefelmann et al. (2006).

Chosen study

(a) Describe the results and/or conclusion(s) of your chosen contemporary study.

(4)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



(b) Explain **one** strength and **one** weakness of your chosen contemporary study.

(4)

Strength

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Weakness

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for Question 3 = 8 marks)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

- 4 Xavi carried out an experiment to investigate whether the number of siblings (brothers and sisters) affected aggression. He gathered a stratified sample from a local business and split the participants into two conditions.
- Condition A: participants with one sibling.
 - Condition B: participants with two or more siblings.

(a) Describe how Xavi may have gathered his stratified sample.

(3)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Explain **one** improvement Xavi could make to his sample of participants.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



Xavi calculated the mean and median number of aggressive acts for Condition A. His results are shown in **Table 1**.

	Mean number of aggressive acts in a month	Median number of aggressive acts in a month
Condition A: participants with one sibling	5.4	3.2

Table 1

Xavi did not have a normal distribution of results.

- (c) State, using the data in **Table 1**, how Xavi knew he did not have a normal distribution of results for Condition A.

(1)



The results from Xavi's experiment for Condition B are shown in **Table 2**.

Participant	Number of aggressive acts in a month
A	5
B	7
C	3
D	5
E	3
F	4
G	7
H	1
I	5
Mode =	

Table 2

(d) Calculate the mode for Condition B and complete **Table 2** with your answer.

(1)

Space for calculations

(Total for Question 4 = 7 marks)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

(Total for Question 5 = 8 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 34 MARKS



P 6 4 5 2 2 A 0 1 5 3 2

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

7 Nika conducted an observation with children during their first year at school. She observed the children's interactions at school with each other and with their teachers.

Nika collected qualitative data during her observation.

(a) Describe how Nika may have recorded the qualitative data she collected during her observation.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Describe how Nika could ensure her observation was reliable.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



Nika decided to turn her qualitative data into quantitative data.

(c) Describe how Nika could have converted her qualitative data into quantitative data.

(3)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for Question 7 = 7 marks)



(b) Explain **one** strength and **one** weakness of Skinner (1948) Superstition in the pigeon, in terms of ethical considerations.

(4)

Strength

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Weakness

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for Question 8 = 8 marks)



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

9 (a) Explain **two** strengths of generalising the results and/or conclusions from animal experiments to humans.

(4)

1

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

2

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....



(b) Justify why animal experiments could be considered more reliable than human experiments.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for Question 9 = 6 marks)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA



10 Assess the usefulness of classical conditioning as an explanation of human behaviour.

(8)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Area with horizontal dotted lines for writing.



P 6 4 5 2 2 A 0 2 3 3 2

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

(Total for Question 10 = 8 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 34 MARKS



Section C

Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

11 Evaluate research into the circadian sleep-wake cycle.

(12)

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Area with horizontal dotted lines for writing answers.



P 6 4 5 2 2 A 0 2 5 3 2

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Handwriting practice area with 20 horizontal dotted lines.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Handwriting practice area with 20 horizontal dotted lines.

(Total for Question 11 = 12 marks)



P 6 4 5 2 2 A 0 2 7 3 2

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Handwriting practice area with 20 horizontal dotted lines.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Handwriting practice area with 20 horizontal dotted lines.



DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Handwriting practice area with 20 horizontal dotted lines.



P 6 4 5 2 2 A 0 3 1 3 2

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

(Total for Question 12 = 16 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION C = 28 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 96 MARKS

